ADDITIONAL VIEWS BY MR. OBEY

This in many respects is a good bill. It provides the resources necessary to support our fighting men and women in the field in a timely manner. It does so in a way that protects the constitutional responsibilities and prerogatives of the Congress as a coequal branch of the government. Finally, in producing it, the committee rejected efforts to interfere with the President's prerogatives in the execution of foreign policy.

But this bill also represents yet another missed opportunity to address pressing unmet needs for protection of the American people from terrorist attacks. While the committee made some useful improvements in funding homeland security needs within the limits proposed by the White House there are numerous critical needs that should have been addressed and were not.

That is deeply disturbing because it is important that we not only do the right thing in securing the homeland but that we do it in a timely manner. Unless, the funding levels contained in this bill for protecting citizens here at home are increased in the full House or agreed to in conference with the Senate, we will delay for months and perhaps longer the implementation of numerous simple straightforward steps that we should be taking to prevent future catastrophic attacks against the American people.

The amendment that I asked the committee to consider would have added \$2.5 billion in total spending to the bill that was reported, an increase of about 3%. Those funds would have been distributed amongst twenty-one separate programs in which current funding levels will simply not permit government agencies to perform the tasks that our nation's safety requires.

These are some of the issues that the amendment would have addressed:

Stopping Nuclear Materials From Being Smuggled into the United States

While it is unlikely that any terrorist organization has the capacity at this time to attack the United States with a ballistic missile, it is quite likely that a terrorist organization that gained control of a nuclear, chemical or biological weapon could smuggle that weapon into the United States across our Northern or Southern border or by boat. Perhaps the greatest challenge we face is monitoring the more than 20,000 shipping containers that enter the United States each day.

Remarkable new technology allows us to determine if any vessel in a port contains nuclear material through the installation of a single piece of equipment in that port. By placing such equipment in ports overseas we can determine whether or not a vessel is free of nuclear materials before it even leaves for our shores rather than when it has entered a U.S. port. One such piece of equipment is now being deployed by the U.S. Department

of Energy in the Port of Rotterdam, which accounts for the largest percentage of shipments into the United States of any port in the world.

The Department of Energy believes that we could install such equipment in an additional nine ports for the cost of \$135 million. That would provide us with coverage of more than half of all commercial shipping into the United States. It is almost inconceivable that the Congress would reject these funds given the amounts being spent for efforts that provide far less security.

Strengthening Security of our Own Nuclear Materials

Another grave concern is the possibility that terrorists might gain control of nuclear materials stored here in the United States. The Department of Energy, which is responsible for the storage of such materials, has identified security upgrades that it argues are critical for insuring that these materials don't get into the wrong hands. Those upgrades cost \$87 million and are not contained in the bill reported by the committee.

Securing Nuclear Materials Overseas

Russia and a number of other nations have developed the technology to create nuclear materials and weapons but continue to have large amounts of these materials and weapons that are highly vulnerable to theft and resale to terrorists or rogue nations. We have maintained an ongoing program to help the Russians secure their nuclear stockpiles but have not developed similar efforts in other countries.

In addition, nearly every country in the world uses radioactive materials for peaceful purposes including medicine, agricultural research and industrial applications. The possibility of using such materials for construction of a so-called "dirty bomb" makes the development of new worldwide standards for the shipment, securing and disposal of these materials a matter of the highest priority. That effort is not likely to proceed at a rapid pace unless the United States plays a leading role. That job falls to the U.S. Department of Energy but there are no funds appropriated to the Department for that purpose.

The cost of addressing both of these objectives would total only \$18 million.

Providing U.S. Laboratories the Capacity to Cope with a Chemical Weapon Attack

A year ago we passed a supplemental appropriation that provided state public health and environmental laboratories with the funds to develop the capacity to identify the agents used in the event of a biological attack. We have not, however, provided them with the capacity to identify the agents that might be used in the even of a chemical attack. The amendment that was rejected by the committee would have provided \$150 million for that purpose.

Protecting Federal Dams and Waterways from Terrorist Attacks

Numerous communities including some major cities face the prospect of catastrophic damage and loss of life from an attack on federally operated dams and waterways. In addition, attacks against waterways such as the Mississippi River could have immense economic consequences to the entire nation.

Following the events of September 11, 2001, the Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Land Management did a specific site-by-site analysis of the vulnerability of such facilities and the cost of installing adequate security to prevent possible attacks. The assessment completed by the Corps of Engineers early last year indicated a need for security upgrades costing \$108 million. That sum was included in the Spring supplemental but vetoed by the President when the bill reached the White House last summer.

Since that time the Bureau of Land Management has completed its vulnerability assessments and has identified security needs totaling \$24 million. The amendment that the committee rejected contained \$132 million to meet the security needs identified by the two agencies.

(See appendix for list of facilities)

Chemical Plant Vulnerability Assessments

Only weeks ago, the General Accounting Office completed a report indicating that a serious threat is posed by the possibility of terrorists targeting U.S. chemical plants. Many such plants are located in dense urban areas and any attack against them could result in catastrophic loss of life. While the responsibility for meeting these security needs lies largely with the corporations that operate the plants, the GAO points out that the federal government at this point has no capacity to determine what security upgrades may be required or whether or not chemical producers are taking appropriate steps to meet such requirements. The amendment that was rejected provided the Environmental Protection Agency with \$75 million to initiate such assessments.

Protecting Imported Food and Medical Equipment

A large portion of the food Americans now eat has at least some component that is imported. Insuring that such food is not health-threatening is an enormous task that is shared by a number of federal agencies. As a result of bipartisan efforts, resources were provided in 2001 to improve FDA's capacity to inspect imported food. But President Bush refused to agree to funding in 2002 to improve USDA's import inspections. That funding was designed to remedy a serious problem that hampers USDA import inspections: the agencies principally responsible for monitoring and inspection do not have effective methods of communicating with one another concerning what inspections have taken place, what the results of those inspections have been or even whether or not certain shipments have been rejected.

We also have very limited capacity for monitoring the safety and possible contamination of imported medical devices. The amendment that was rejected contained \$30 million in funds for the Food and Drug Administration and the Department of Agriculture to address these problems.

Helping Fire, Police and Medical Personnel Help Us

We currently face the prospect across most of the nation that the victims of a chemical, biological or radiological attack could not be assisted by local fire, police and medical personnel simply because those personnel do not have the equipment or training to work in those types of environments. This problem has been widely and repeatedly documented. The Hart-Rudman report recommended that the federal government provide funding to first responders to "immediately clear the backlog of requests for protective gear, training and communications equipment." The report also concluded "First responders – police, fire and emergency medical personnel – are not prepared for a chemical or biological attack... America's own ill-prepared response could hurt its people to a much greater extent than any single attack by a terrorist." States and local governments have documented over \$9 billion in first responder needs that have not yet been met.

The legislation reported by the Committee contains \$2.2 billion for first responders which is available for not only meeting the needs outlined in the Hart-Rudman report but also for paying the enormous overtime costs that communities around the country have faced as a result of meeting the code orange threat level mandates. As a result of providing local governments with the authority to use funds to cover overtime costs, it is very likely that little or any of the funds provided by the committee will be used to meet backlog needs.

The amendment that the committee rejected would have added \$800 million for the equipment and training needed to let local fire, police and medical personnel meet the difficult challenges such an attack would entail. Of this amount, \$350 million would have been provided for interoperable communications equipment, for the police, fire, and emergency response community. Communication problems in disasters have been evident for years: in the Air Florida accident over 20 years ago and more recently in the World Trade Center and Pentagon terrorist attacks, where fire and police from different states could not communicate with each other over their radios. These communication problems hinder the ability of our first responders to effectively respond to a disaster. Only 40% of fire departments can communicate with all of their partners. The National Strategy for Homeland Security calls for improved public safety emergency communications. According to a report issued by the National Task Force on Interoperability, at the state level, replacing basic radio systems for a single public safety agency can cost between \$100 million and \$300 million.

Also part of this \$800 million was \$150 million for firefighter grants, so that that program would be funded in FY 2003 at its authorized level of \$900 million. Firefighter needs are

enormous. A December, 2002, Needs Assessment of the US Fire Service found that: fire departments do not have enough portable radios to equip more than about half of the emergency responders on a shift; the majority of fire department portable radios are not water-resistant, and more than three-quarters lack intrinsic safety in an explosive atmosphere; about one-third of firefighters per shift are not equipped with self-contained breathing apparatus; and nearly half of all fire departments have no map coordinate system.

The last part of the \$800 million was \$300 million for the base Office of Domestic Preparedness program, on top of the \$1 billion appropriated in 2003 and the \$2.2 billion contained in the Republican supplemental bill. Adding \$300 million would take the base ODP program to \$3.5 billion in FY 2003, equal to the original Bush FY 2003 budget request.

Republican members of the Committee argued that no additional funding for first responders was necessary since some funding from previous fiscal years remains unspent. Had they believed that this argument was valid it would be hard to explain why the legislation includes the full \$2 billion in additional funds requested by the President and an additional \$200 million for the program added by the committee. As these members are well aware, the Bush Administration did not distribute the 1999-2002 funds for this program until less than six months ago. The best information available to the committee indicates that the distribution and obligation of these funds is now proceeding rapidly. In addition, the Committee has included in this bill a mandate that states pass 80% of the new funding on to localities within 45 days, so the funds should be spent expeditiously.

Getting More Help from the National Guard and Army Reserves

A critical part of the nation's emergency preparedness has always been our ability to rely on National Guard and Army Reserve units to back up local fire and law enforcement agencies. The need for assistance from such units is obviously much greater today than before September 11th.

Although Congress has authorized "National Guard Civil Support Teams" in all fifty states, funding has been provided for teams in only 32 states. These additional units would be available not only for deployment in the states in which they are located but to meet emergencies in other states as well. The cost of creating, equipping and training such units in the 18 states in which they do not presently exist would be \$160 million.

The Army Reserves maintain emergency/deployable medical facilities and personnel that could be used to respond to disasters created by the use of weapons of mass destruction. Shortfalls in the Army Reserve budget for training and relocating deployable military hospitals currently limit the Reserve's ability to meet this mission. The amendment that was rejected by the Committee contained \$66 million to meet this cost and the \$166 million needed to fund National Guard Civil Support Teams.

Speeding up Proposed Expansion of the Coast Guard

Due to the Coast Guard's significant homeland security and defense role, the Administration asked for \$580 million in supplemental funding and the Committee included \$630 million. The Administration's and the Republicans' supplemental funding for the Coast Guard only funds the Coast Guard's operations in Iraq and in our ports here. None of this funding is for additional staffing or additional security improvements – it's basically for costs related to increased operating tempo through use of the Coast Guard reserves.

The President's FY 2004 budget recognizes the need to increase Coast Guard personnel and provides for an additional 2,000 personnel for half of the year. These personnel could be brought on board October 1 instead of April of next year as the President proposes. The amendment that the committee rejected would have provided \$100 million to allow the Coast Guard to do this.

Additional Coast Guard personnel are sorely needed and should be recruited, trained and placed on duty as quickly as possible. In order to increase its homeland security activities as a result of the increased terrorist threat, the Coast Guard is virtually eliminating many of its other missions. According to the General Accounting Office, the Coast Guard is spending considerably less time on missions outside of the realm of homeland security, such as drug interdiction and fisheries enforcement. The Homeland Security Act calls for traditional Coast Guard missions to be protected as homeland security activities increase – this is not what is happening today and the additional resources needed for homeland security should be appropriated directly, not borrowed from other Coast Guard missions.

The Amendment would have also provided \$90 million to expand port and waterway safety systems (PAWSS) at additional ports. The Coast Guard and the Navy implemented this system recently in the port of Norfolk due to increased threat levels. The Coast Guard should implement this system at other ports. However, current funding will permit at only one additional port, San Diego. The \$90 million contained in the amendment would expand the PAWSS system to the ports of Boston, Charleston, Philadelphia, Jacksonville, Baltimore, Honolulu, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Corpus Christi, San Juan and Wilmington (NC).

Port Security

A major problem that must be addressed if we are to minimize the threat posed by illicit shipments and activities in our ports is greater security in the areas where cargo is loaded off of and on to ships. The Coast Guard has estimated that first year costs for the necessary security upgrades will exceed \$900 million and that \$4.4 billion will be needed over the next ten years. In the current year the Congress has provided only \$400 million to meet these needs despite the fact that local port authorities have made applications for nearly \$1 billion in federal funds.

Ninety-five percent of all non-North American U.S. trade moves by sea and arrives in 361 ports around the nation. Over the past few decades, container traffic and energy imports increasingly have been concentrated in just a handful of ports, making them inviting targets. For instance, 43 percent of all the maritime containers that arrived in the U.S. in 2001 came through the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. As the recent West Coast port closures demonstrated, the cost to the economy of closing these ports totals approximately \$1 billion per day for the first five days, rising exponentially thereafter.

The amendment rejected in Committee would have provided \$250 million for grants to secure ports.

Greater Security for our War Fighters and their Families

The ongoing event in Iraq will probably make all Americans more vulnerable to terrorist attack, at least over the course of the next several years. President Mubarak of Egypt has recently stated that instead of dealing with one Bin Laden we may be facing a hundred such individuals because of the emotions that have been stirred in the Arab world by the Iraq conflict.

It is not unreasonable to expect that some of that anger may be directed against those who have risked the most in this conflict, the war fighters. Yet an assessment of U.S. military installations indicates that force protection and security is well below the levels that it ought to be. The Pentagon has identified more than \$1 billion in unfunded security needs for protecting individual and family housing and other facilities on U.S. military installations.

The amendment that was rejected by the committee would have provided \$197 million to begin reducing that backlog of security needs.

Conclusion

The amendment also contained a number of smaller but nonetheless important items that I don't have the space to discuss in detail. Among these were vulnerability assessments for local drinking water systems; security upgrades for Amtrak tunnels in major East Coast cities; increased security arrangements for the Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln monuments and the Statue of Liberty; improvement of technology for determining the origin of illicit nuclear materials and a small increase for the intelligence budget of the Department of Energy.

As stated at the beginning of these views, the total cost of the Amendment was less than \$2.5 billion. To place that in proportion, it would have increases the size of the supplemental by less than 3%. It was less than 4/5s the size of the unrequested assistance to U.S. airlines which the Speaker directed the Committee to add to the package. It was only about 1/3 the size of the funds provided for payments to foreign countries. When added to the sums for homeland security already contained in the bill reported by the

committee, the total amount for protecting the American people would have been significantly smaller that the sums provide for foreign assistance.

It should also be noted that during the debate on this amendment, I offered to remove any single item that any member of the majority objected to or believed that it did not represent a sufficient priority to be included in the package. I received no suggestions.

The amendment was defeated on a straight party line vote that is listed at the back of this report.

I regret that many of my Republican friends on the Committee felt compelled to vote against what they openly admitted appeared to be common sense steps to reduce the threat and consequences of a terrorist attack because of the demands of Party discipline. In a Congress in which each outcome is predetermined by leadership decisions that take place in advance of formal meeting the role of information and debate in the legislative process becomes minimal.

I find it incredible that those advising the President on these matters have so little sensitivity to the necessity of addressing these problems and that the members of the President's party in Congress seem so incapable of breaking ranks with these decisions even when they openly admit that they are in personal disagreement.

Over time, we have made progress in addressing these needs despite White House intransigence. The problem is that our adversaries are not likely to operate on a timetable that accommodates our slow and inconsistent response. The timeliness with which the funds are provided to the agencies that have responsibility for protecting our own shores is not likely to improve until more people become aware of the our continued exposure and the reason appropriate steps are not being taken.

APPENDICES TO THE ADDITIONAL VIEWS BY MR. OBEY

APPENDIX A, TO THE ADDITIONAL VIEWS BY MR. OBEY

	,	
	\$1.5B ODP	ADDITIONAL \$300M
	ALLOCATION	FOR ODP INCLUDED
STATE NAME	IN BILL	IN AMENDMENT
JIAIL NAME	III DILL	M ABERTONEITI
ALABAMA	25.0	5.0
ALASKA	13.2	2.6
ARIZONA	28.0	5.6
ARKANSAS	19.6	3.9
CALIFORNIA	119.3	23.9
		5.0
COLORADO	25.1	
CONNECTICUT	21.9	4.4
DELAWARE	13.7	2.7
DIST OF COLUMBIA	13.0	2.6
FLORIDA	62.7	12.5
GEORGIA	37.6	7.5
HAWAII	15.1	3.0
IDAHO	15.4	3.1
ILLINOIS	50.0	10.0
INDIANA	30.2	6.0
IOWA	20.3	4.1
KANSAS	19.6	3.9
KENTUCKY	23.8	4.8
LOUISIANA	25.0	5.0
MAINE	15.2	3.0
MARYLAND	28.0	5.6
MASSACHUSETTS	31.0	6.2
MICHIGAN	42.2	8.4
MINNESOTA	26.7	5.3
MISSISSIPPI	20.1	4.0
MISSOURI	28.7	5.7
MONTANA	14.1	2.8
NEBRASKA	16.6	3.3
NEVADA	17.9	3.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	15.2	3.0
NEW JERSEY	37.7	7.5
NEW MEXICO	16.9	3.4
NEW YORK	70.2	14.0
NORTH CAROLINA	36.8	7.4
NORTH DAKOTA	13.2	2.6
OHIO .	46.4	9.3
OKLAHOMA	22.0	4.4
OREGON	22.1	4.4
PENNSYLVANIA	49.2	9.8
RHODE ISLAND	14.5	2.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	23.9	4.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	13.6	2.7
TENNESSEE	29.1	5.8
TEXAS	78.3	15.7
UTAH	18.4	3.7
VERMONT	13.1	2.6
VIRGINIA	33.7	6.7
WASHINGTON	29.9	6.0
WEST VIRGINIA	16.8	3.4
WISCONSIN	28.0	5.6
	12.8	2.6
WYOMING		
PUERTO RICO	23.1	4.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	4.1	0.8
AMERICAN SAMOA	3.9	0.8
GUAM	4.2	0.8
NO. MARIANA ISLANDS	4.0	0.8
TOTAL	1,500	300

APPENDIX B, TO THE ADDITIONAL VIEWS BY MR. OBEY

Dist.	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State Co	Cost Estimate REMARKS
SAM	SAD	001680	001680 BWT Rivers	AL	104 Cost increase from revised ESC proposal
SAM	SAD	018070	018070 Tenn Tom Waterway	AL, MS	2,090 Cost increase from revised ESC proposal
∑ X	MVD	012180	012180 Narrows Dam / Lake Greeson	AR	62 \$60K Costs to initiate E&D for construction of Physical and Electronic Security Enhancements at the project
SWL	SWD		Home Land Security-All SWL Projects Arkansas and Missouri	AR MO	3,629 Provide guard services at all projects, regionalizaton of monitoring of SWI powerhouses and other security needs.
SPN	SPD	004990	Dry Creek (Warm Springs) Lake & Channel	CA	622 Installation of the entire electronic security system for this critical structure to protect against terrorist attack requires these additional finds based on revised Government estimate.
NWO	NWD	003020	Chatfield Lake CO	00	69 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWO	NWO NWD	003070	Cherry Creek Lake, CO	00	69 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
O L		072285		0 5	69 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
N A A A E	S S	081369 081369	STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE DAM	5 b	61 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE DAM	CT	89 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
WAD	NAD	081369	WASHINGTON AQUEDUCT	DC	10,048 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAP	NAD	081369	SUMMIT BRIDGE	DE	759 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
SAS	SAD	007380	007380 Hartwell Dam and Lake	ВA	255 Guard Services during increased threat levels
SAS	SAD	018530	018530 Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake 002190 Buford Dam - Guard Services	& & & &	137 Guard Services during increased threat levels 50 Additional cost to local law enforcement agreement thru completion
<u>.</u>)				of construction 5/03
SAM	SAD	002730	002730 Carters Lake - Guard services	GA	223 Additional cost to local law enforcement agreement thru end of FY 03

Cost Estimate REMARKS	448 Additional cost to local law enforcement agreement thru end of FY 03	265 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	115 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	343 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	343 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	103 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	103 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	69 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	103 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	69 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	31 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	31 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	10 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	202 CPSP cost increase to complete project by 31 Dec 03 (per MG	Griffin guidance)	518 CPSP	518 CPSP	. 518 CPSP	406 CPSP	137 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	274 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	411 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	255 Guard Services during increased threat levels, To be monitored at	Hartwell Dam	60 Phase II Guard Service. Guards physically on-board on 3/24/03.	317 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	103 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
State	MS	Ψ	LΜ	Q Q	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	N H	Ы П	OR	OR	OR	OR		OR	OR	OR	OR	OR, WA	OR, WA	OR, WA	sc		SC	SD	SD
Project Name	018070 Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway - Guard services for L&Ds	Ft Peck Dam and Lake	Ft Peck Dam and Lake	Garrison Dam Lake Sakakawea	Oahe Dam Lake Oahe ND&SD	Garrison Dam Lake Sakakawea	Oahe Dam Lake Oahe ND&SD	Pipestem Lake, ND	Gavins Pt Dam Lewis & Clark Lake	Salt Creek & Tribs 1958 Act	Bonneville Lock and Dam, OR & WA	John Day Lock and Dam, OR & WA	Lookout Point Lake, OR	John Day Lock and Dam, OR & WA		Lost Creek Lake, OR	Cougar Lake, OR	Hills Creek Lake, OR	Lookout Point Lake, OR	McNary Lock and Dam, OR and WA	McNary Lock and Dam, OR and WA	McNary Lock and Dam, OR and WA	-		074354 Cooper River, Charleston Harbor	Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case	Big Bend Dam Lake Sharpe
PWID	01807	006230	006230	006400	012960	006400	012960	014120	006440	016010	080546	008480	010050	008480		010090	004080	007530	010050	010920	010920	010920	007380		07435	006270	001420
Dist. Div.	SAD	ΔWN	NWD	NWD	DWN	NWD	DWN N	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD		NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	NWD	SAD		SAD	NWD	NWD
Dist.	SAM	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWO	NWP	NWP	NWP	NWP		NWP	NWP	NWP	NWP	NWN	NWN N	NWN N	SAS		SAC	NWO	NWO

CORPS OF ENGINEERS -- CIVIL
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL
Emergency Supplemental Requirements, Fiscal Year 2003
(Dollars in Thousands)

103 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	1,903 Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days	1,903 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	16 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	93 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	31 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	16 Guards at FPCON Delta 30 days	233 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days	137 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
S	VAR	VAR	WA	WA	WA	WA	WA	WA
Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case	Civil funds needed 306,000/month	Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days	Chief Joseph Dam	Lake Washington Ship Canal	The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR	Chief Joseph Dam	The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR	Ice Harbor Lock and Dam, WA
006270			3200	9400	004400	3200	004400	809000
	NWD	NWD	NWD		NWD			
NWO	NWS	NWS	NWS	NWS	NWP	NWS	NWP	NWW NWD
	Francis Case SD	006270 Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case SD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR	006270 Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case SD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days VAR	006270 Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case SD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days VAR 3200 Chief Joseph Dam WA	O06270 Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case SD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days VAR 3200 Chief Joseph Dam WA 9400 Lake Washington Ship Canal WA	NWD O06270 Ft Randall Dam Lake Francis Case SD NWD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR NWD 3200 Chief Joseph Dam NWD 9400 Lake Washington Ship Canal WA NWD 004400 The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR WA	NWD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR NWD 3200 Chief Joseph Dam WA BADO Lake Washington Ship Canal WA NWD 004400 The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR WA NWD 3200 Chief Joseph Dam WA	NWD Civil funds needed 306,000/month VAR NWD Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days VAR NWD Guard Costs for FP-Charlie 180 days VAR NWD Chief Joseph Dam WA NWD Lake Washington Ship Canal WA NWD The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR WA NWD Chief Joseph Dam WA NWD O04400 The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR WA

Dist.	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State C	Cost Estimate
NWN	NWW NWD	010210	Lower Monumental Lock and Dam, WA	WA	137 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWN	NWW NWD	009880	Little Goose Lock and Dam, WA	WA	137 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
WWN	NWW NWD	009881	Lower Granite Lock and Dam, WA	WA	137 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWN	NWW NWD	809000	Ice Harbor Lock and Dam, WA	WA	274 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWN	NWW NWD	010210	Lower Monumental Lock and Dam, WA	WA	274 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWN N	NWW NWD	009880	Little Goose Lock and Dam, WA	WA	274 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWN	NWW NWD	009881	Lower Granite Lock and Dam, WA	WA	274 Guards at FPCON Charlie 180 days
NWP	NWD	004400	The Dalles Lock and Dam, WA & OR	WA	1,389 CPSP
LRP	LRD	18730	Tygart Lake Dam	M	72 E & D Costs to bring security upgrade projects to 35% design
			Total Guards		38,314

Dist.	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State	
SWL	SWD	022480	Dardanelle Lock and Dam	AR	52 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture security facilities
SWL	SWL SWD	022480	022480 Ozark Lock and Dam	AR	52 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture security facilities
WAD	WAD NAD	081369	WASHINGTON AQUEDUCT	DC	4,048 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
SAJ	SAD	013240	013240 Okeechobee Waterway	료	78 Ortona L&D: Upgrade vehicle barriers and gates \$30,000; Ortona L&D Upgrade security fence \$15,000; Moore Haven L&D: upgrade vehicle barriers \$30,000
SAJ	SAD	002860	002860 Central and South Florida	교	1,667 Herbert Hoover Dike: Upgrade five vehicle gates \$60,000 Herbert Hoover Dike: Pre-position rock for breech repairs \$1,350,000 Port Mayaca L&D: Upgrade vehicle barriers \$30,000 Port Mayaca L&D
SAM	SAM SAD	008450	008450 Jim Woodruff L&D	GA	711 RAM-D 1st round
SAM	SAM SAD	000410	000410 ACF - Andrews L&D	В	510 RAM-D 1st round
MVR	MVD	003910	*Rock Island District Central Monitoring- multi project-Coralville portion	⊴	6 Physical Security Measures
MVR	MVD	015070	*Rock Island District Central Monitoring- multi project-Red Rock portion	Ā	6 Physical Security Measures

Cost Estimate REMARKS	6 Physical Security Measures	26 Physical barriers required to meet condition Charlie. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.	26 Physical barriers required to meet condition Charlie. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.	26 Physical barriers required to meet condition Charlie. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.	363 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA	518 Engineering and Design funding for Lucky Peak CPSP improvements	933 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes.	829 Required project funds exceeded RAM-D estimate. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.	52 Physical Security Measures
State	⊴	⊴	⊴	⊴	IA / WI	Ω	⊒	긛	크
Project Name	*Rock Island District Central Monitoring- multi project-Saylorville portion	Red Rock Dam & Lake Fencing & Barriers	Saylorville Dam & Lake Fencing & Barriers	Coralville Dam & Lake Fencing & Barriers	LOCK & DAM #10	Lucky Peak Lake, ID	Smithland Locks & Dam	Illinois Waterway Marseilles L/D	*Rock Island District Central Monitoring- multi project-Illinois WW portion
PWID	016510	015070	016510	003910	74295	010260	13200	008010	008010
Div.	MVD	MVD	MVD	MVD	MVD	NWD	LRD	MVD	MVR MVD
Dist	MVR	MVR	MVR	MVR	MVP	NWW NWD	LRL	MVR	MVR

Dist	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State C	State Cost Estimate REMARKS
LRL	LRD	13200	Locks & Dam 53	ᆜ	104 Funds for physical security improvements to meet access control issues at heightened threat levels (fencing, barriers, lighting, etc.).
MVR	MVD	011550	*Rock Island District Central Monitoring- IL, IA, MO multi project-Mississippi River portion	IL, IA, MO	75 Physical Security Measures
MVR	MVD	011550	Mississippi River L/D 21 Security	IL, MO	518 Required project funds exceeded RAM-D estimate. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.
MVR	MVR MVD	011550	Mississippi River Fencing & Barriers at 11 Sites	IL, MO	1,140 Physical barriers required to meet condition Charlie. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.
MVS	MVD	011380	011380 Locks #27	= i	1,190 As determined by the 35% review, phase I security work at Locks #27 requires this additional funding above the initial RAM-D estimate.
MVS	MVD	011370	011370 Melvin Price Lock and Dam	II. & Mo.	664 As determined by the draft 35% review, phase I security work at Melvin Price Lock & Dam requires this additional funding above the original RAM-D estimate.
MVS	MVD	011380	011380 Melvin Price Lock & Dam and Locks 27	II. & Mo.	513 Centralized Monitoring equipment and contract - All security read outs from the initially funded projects as well as the phase II projects will be centrally monitored from one location.
MVS	MVD	002560	Clarence Cannon Dam	II. & Mo.	73 Certain security hardware items at unfunded Phase II projects can help increase security until RAM-D funding is approved. Fences, gates, barriers, lights, alarms, and improved communications.
MVS	MVD	002700	Carlyle Lake	II. & Mo.	73 Certain security hardware items at unfunded Phase II projects can help increase security until RAM-D funding is approved. Fences, gates, barriers, lights, alarms, and improved communications.

Dist	Div.	DWID	Project Name	State Cost	
MVS	MVD	016691	Lake Shelbyville	II. & Mo.	73 Certain security hardware items at unfunded Phase II projects can help increase security until RAM-D funding is approved. Fences, gates, barriers, lights, alarms, and improved communications.
MVS	MVD	015190	Rend Lake	II. & Mo.	73 Certain security hardware items at unfunded Phase II projects can help increase security until RAM-D funding is approved. Fences, gates, barriers, lights, alarms, and improved communications.
MVS	MVD	019420	Wappapello Lake (MR&T)	II. & Mo.	62 Certain security hardware items at unfunded Phase II projects can help increase security until RAM-D funding is approved. Fences, gates, barriers, lights, alarms, and improved communications.
MVS	MVD	011370	011370 Lock & Dam 25.	II. & Mo.	36 Commence design Charettes and Engineering and Design work for the highest priority Phase II Projects
MVS	MVD	011370	Lock & Dam 24.	II. & Mo.	36 Commence design Charettes and Engineering and Design work for the highest priority Phase II Projects
MVS	MVD	002560	Clarence Cannon Dam/Mark Twain Lake	II. & Mo.	31 Commence design Charettes and Engineering and Design work for the highest priority Phase II Projects
LR.	LRD	13200	Newburgh Locks & Dam	<u>z</u>	1,348 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes.
LR L	LRD	13200	John T. Myers Locks & Dam	Z	1,348 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes.
SWT	SWD	008530	John Redmond Dam and Reservoir	KS	52 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture security facilities

REMARKS	52 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture security facilities	1,451 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes.	2,177 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes.	62 Addfitional ITR/PM involvement at 35% and 95% design	62 Addfitional ITR/PM involvement at 35% and 95% design	259 Cost to Set Up Central Monitoring Station For LRL. Acquire facility, hardware to set up regional monitoring links.	1,348 Funds required to complete infrastructure security improvements recommended during comprehensive design charrettes. ****If no	year end money can get completed. Otherwise will not be able to Physical Barrier installation	83 Start and complete Phase 1 documentation for entire 35% package. This will enable District to start 35-95% design first quarter of FY04 and complete construction in FY04
Cost Estimate	52 Perform enginee security facilities	1,451 Funds required recommended	2,177 Funds required recommended	62 Addfitional ITF	62 Addfitional ITF	259 Cost to Set Up facility, hardwa	1,348 Funds require recommended	year end money can get co 111 Physical Barrier installation	83 Start and com package. This quarter of FYO
State	χ Ω	Ž	Ş	፟፟፟፟፟	Ş	₹	¥	NT/7A	KY/TN
Project Name	El Dorado Lake	Markland Locks & Dam	McAlpine Locks & Dam	Wolf Creek	Lake Barkley	Regional Monitoring Station	Cannelton Locks & Dam	Dale Hollow	Dale Hollow
PWID	005350	13200	13200	20140	940	13200	13200	4390	4390
Div.	SWD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD
Dist.	SWT	LRL	LRL	LRN	LRN	LRL	LRL	L R N	LRN

Dist.	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State Co	
MVN MVD	MVD	000062	Gulf intracoastal Waterway	۲	160 Critical Project Security enhancement at Port Allen Lock - Cost above RAM-D estimate
Z > Z	MVD	000062	Gulf intracoastal Waterway	ΓĄ	731 Critical Project Security enhancement at Algiers Lock - Cost above RAM-D estimate
N N	MVD	000062	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway	ΓĄ	160 Critical Project Security enhancement at Harvey Lock - Cost above RAM-D estimate
N >>	MVD	13300	Old River (MR&T project)	LA	680 Reimbursement for Critical Project Security enhancement at Old River Control Complex
N >	MVN MVD	13300	Old River (MR&T project)	L	311 Cost to establish a central monitoring station for security at the Old River Control Complex (Auzillary and Low Sill Control Structure, and Old River Lock)
NAE	NAD	081369	SAGAMORE BRIDGE (CCC)	MA	93 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	BOURNE BRIDGE (CCC)	MA	78 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	CAPE COD CANAL W/RR BRIDGE	Μ	813 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	NEW BEDFORD HURRICANE BARRIER	ΜΑ	148 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D

(Dollars in Thousands)	
	ľ
	ı
	ŀ
	l
	۱
	ŀ
	ı
	ŀ
	١
	۱
	۱
	l
	İ
	ŀ
	l
	l
	ı
	ı
	İ
	ı
	١
	ĺ
	ĺ
	۱
	۱
	ı
	ı
	ŀ
	ŀ
	l
	ı
	ı
	l
	l
	ĺ
	l
	۱
	l
	١
(Dollars	
(Lolla	
(D0)	
ă)	
1)	
	i
	l
	J
	١
	۱
	١
	١
	į
	١
	١
	١
	١
	ı
	١
	١
	ı
	۱
	-
	-
	1
	į
	l
	J
	1
	į

Dist.	Div.	PWID	Project Name	State C	Cost Estimate REMARKS
NAE	NAD	081369	TULLY LAKE DAM	MA	140 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	BARRE FALLS DAM	MA	70 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
NAE	NAD	081369	CONCORD PARK	MA	1,147 79% portion; FACILITY SECURITY
NAP	NAD	081369	C&D CANAL	MD	157 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D
LRE	LRD	17380	17380 St Marys River, MI	Ē	363 Stiffleg Derrick repairs, used to place stoplogs in Mac Lock when under open flow condition. This derrick is the only way to close the
MVP	MVP MVD	74295	UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK	Z Z	lock in the case of a terrorist attack or other major failure taking out 928 COMPLETE SECURITY SYSTEM PER RAM-D REPORT
MVP	MVP MVD	074295	Central Monitoring Station for the Upper Locks and Dams	Z S	778 Establish central monitoring station to cover critical projects located in the upper area of the St. Paul District.
MVP	MVP MVD	74295	LOCK & DAM #1	Z	622 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA
MVP	MVP MVD	74295	LOWER ST. ANTHONY FALLS L/D	Z Z	207 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA

Cost Estimate REMARKS	363 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA	259 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA	156 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA	518 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA 518 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA	104 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA 52 DESIGN CHARRETTE - 35% DESIGN 52 DESIGN CHARRETTE - 35% DESIGN 156 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, S&A 52 Preliminary design for security upgrade. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion.	52 Preliminary design for security upgrade. Timely fund distribution is essential to task completion. 156 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA 259 FENCES, BARRIERS, GATES, E&D, SA
State	Z	Z S	Z	M / NM	MN / WI MN / WI MN / WI MN/WI MO, IL	MO, IL ND ND
Project Name	PINE RIVER DAM	ORWELL DAM	WHITE ROCK DAM / LAKE TRAVERSE	LOCK & DAM #5 LOCK & DAM # 7	LOCK & DAM #2 LOCK & DAM #3 LOCK & DAM #5 LOCK & DAM #3 Mississippi River L/D 22 Security Design	Mississippi River L/D 20 Security Design BALDHILL DAM HOMME DAM
PWID	15200	13410	09390	74295 74295	74295 74295 74295 74295 011550	011550 00830 07640
Div.	MVD	MVD	MVD	MVD	M W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	MVD MVD
Dist.	MVP	MVP	MVP	MVP MVP	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M

Cost Estimate	2,712 CPSP improvements - Design charrette indicated that initial RAM-D estimate design and associated costs would not provide the level of	protection required 518 CPSP improvements 46 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D 69 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D	51 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D 1,244 Installation of security upgrades to protect against terrorist threats to our critical facilities.	428 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D 181 Install perimeter fence to meet minimum physical security requirements of FPCON Charlie	181 Install perimeter fence to meet minimum physical security 156 Bring three FY04-funded critical project security upgrades to 35% design level	156 Bring three FY04-funded critical project security upgrades to 35% design level	measures 104 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture	security facilities 52 Perform engineering and design activities for critical infrastucture security facilities	3,744 Physical Security 207 Advance initiation of design effort in preparation for construction placement in FY04. Facility protection funding is included in the FY04 budget.	207 Advance initiation of design effort in preparation for construction placement in FY04. Facility protection funding is included in the FY04 budget.
State	Ω N	ШІТ	ΙΣ ΖΖ	ΣΝΟ	ОН ОН, WV	ОН, W	ž ž	OK	O O R	OR
Project Name	Oahe Dam Lake Oahe ND&SD	Gavins Pt Dam Lewis & Clark Lake SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE DAM OTTER BROOK LAKE	1369 FRANKLIN FALLS DAM 003520 Cochiti Dam	WHITNEY POINT DAM Ohio River Locks and Dams (Meldahl, Greenup, RC Bvrd)	13,140 Ohio River Locks and Dams, WV 13,140 Ohio River Locks and Dams (Willow Island Racine Bellville)		Reservoirs Keystone Lake	Hulah Lake	Bonneville Lock and Dam, OR & WA Cougar Lake, OR	Detroit Lake, OR
PWID	012960	006440 081369 081369	081369 00352	081369 13,140		13,140	008990	007850	080546 004080	004690
Div.	NWD	NWD NAD NAD	NAD SPD	NAD LRD	LRD LRD	LRD	SWD	SWD	O O N N N	NWD
Dist.	NWO	NWO NAE NAE	NAE SPA	NAB LRH	LRH HH	LRH FW	SWT	SWT	N N M D M	NWP

	<u>د</u> و	ΕĐ	⊆ ف	nts	_																	1
Cost Estimate	207 Advance initiation of design effort in preparation for construction placement in FY04. Facility protection funding is included in the FY04 budget	207 Advance initiation of design effort in preparation for construction placement in FY04. Facility protection funding is included in the	FY04 budget. 207 Advance initiation of design effort in preparation for construction placement in FY04. Facility protection funding is included in the	FY04 budget. 518 Engineering and Design funding for McNary CPSP improvements	935 Difference between approved RAM-D amount and 35% design	estimate 26 Cost to Set Up Central Monitoring Station For LRP	104 Complete Guard Booth and Install Fence	156 Fence and Gate to protect this highly sensitive site	1,543 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D	1,105 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D	952 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D	396 SECURITY UPGRADE PER RAM-D	50 E α D Costs to bring security upgrade projects to 55% design 2,022 Replace/Repair/Install fence and gates at all sites (\$50k est. avg.	306 E & D Costs to bring security upgrade projects to 35% design	62 Addfitional ITR/PM involvement at 35% and 95% design	259 Cost to Set Up Central Monitoring Station For LRN	116 Physical Barrier installation	241 Physical Barrier installation	49 Physical Barrier installation	44 Physical Barrier installation		83 Start and complete Phase 1 documentation for entire 35% -
State Cost	OR	OR	OR	OR, WA	ΡΑ	PA	PA	PA	PA	РА	PA 1	Αď	PA.WV.	PA/WV/O	Z	N N L	N N	N L	Z	Z	N N	Z
Project Name	Green Peter-Foster Lakes, OR	Hills Creek Lake, OR	Lost Creek Lake, OR	McNary Lock and Dam, OR and WA	Emsworth Locks and Dams	Regional Monitoring Station	PEWARS (Whse. & Repair Sta.)	Westview Alternate Commo. Site	RAYSTOWN LAKE DAM	TIOGA-HAMMOND DAM	COWANESQUE DAM	BLUE MARSH LAKE DAM	Kinzua Dam and Allegneny Keservoir All 39 LRP Nav. And Flood Control	Ohio-Allegheny River Area Nav.	Old Hickory	Regional Monitoring Station	Center Hill	J Percy Priest	Cordell Hull	Laurel	Cheatham	Center Hill
PWID	006940	007530	010090	010920	13180	13180	Ϋ́	Ν Α	081369	081369	081369	081369	9050 Multiple	13180	13280	Ν	2840	8370	3940	9550	3040	2840
Div.	NWD	NWD	NWP NWD	NWW NWD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	NAD	NAD	NAD	NAD (Z Z	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD	LRD
Dist	NWP	NWP	NWP	NWN	LRP	LRP	LRP	LRP	NAB	NAB	NAB	NAP	국 주	LRP	LRN	LRN	LRN	LRN	LRN	LRN	LRN	LRN

CORPS OF ENGINEERS -- CIVIL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL Emergency Supplemental Requirements, Fiscal Year 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)

iject Name State Cost Estimate	TX VA VA VA WI WI WI WI WI WI WI WI WI W	FACILITY SECURITY 107,856
PWID Project Name		GREAND TOTAL FOR FACILITY SECUI
Div	SWD 009740 NAD 081369 NAD 081369 NWD 000608 MVD 74295 MVD 74295 MVD 74295 MVD 74295 LRD 8,720 LRD 013186 LRD 8,720	GRE
Dist.	SWF NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO NAO	

323,564

APPENDIX C, TO THE ADDITIONAL VIEWS BY MR. OBEY

DEMOCRATIC SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT

Eunction	Subcommittee	Activity	Request	Manager's Amendment	Democratic Add	Total Democratic Package
		DEFENSE				
DEF DEF	DEF DEF	War needs	62,588 0	62,464 0	0 226	62,464 226
DEF	МС	Unfunded anti-terrorism and force protection construction	0	0	197	197
DEF	HS	Coast Guard Iraq operations	400	[400]	0	[400]
DEFENSE	TOTAL		62,988	62,464	423	62,887
		HOMELAND SECURITY				
Issue vote	d on in prior bills;					
HOME HOME	AG AG	FDA medical device and radiological emergency preparedness FSIS imported food data repository, other	0	0		17 13
HOME	EW	Physical Security at Dams and Waterways	0	0	132	132
HOME	VAHUD	Water systems and chemical plant vulnerability analysis	0	0	100	100
Subtotal, I	Homeland issues v	voted on in prior bills	0	0	262	262
Other hom	neland security iss	ues:	,			
HOME	CJS	Justice Counterterrorism Fund	500	50	0	50
HOME	CJS	FBI	0	399	0	399
HOME	CJS	PATRIOT Act oversight	0	3	0	3
HOME	CJS	FISA attorneys	0	5		5
HOME	CJS	Detention Trustee	0	15		15
HOME	CJS	Court security	0	29	0	29
HOME	LEG	Terrorism-related requirements	125	125	0	125
HOME	EW	Nuclear non-proliferation programs	0	0	175	175
HOME	EW	DOE Operations at Higher Threat Levels	0	0		87
HOME	EW	Intelligence	0	0	5	5
HOME	HS	Homeland Security Counterterrorism Fund	1,100	0	0	0
HOME	HS	Port of entry and border staffing (including N. Border staffing)	0	293	0	293
HOME	HS	Container security initiative	0	35	0	35
HOME	HS	Airport security enhancements	0	350	0	350
HOME	HS	Homeland Security agencies	0	603	0	603
HOME	HS	Coast Guard	0	0	100	100
HOME	HS	Coast Guard port and waterways safety/security system expansion	0	0	90	90
HOME	HS	First responder grants:				
		Office for Domestic Preparedness (ODP)	2,000	2,200		2,500
		Port security grants	0	40		290
		Firefighter assistance grants	0	0		
		Interoperability	0	0	350	350
HOME	INT	Monument security upgrades	0	0	18	18
HOME	INT	Park security	0	0	10	10
HOME	LHHS	Operating funds for smallpox vaccination	0	94	0	94
HOME	LHHS	Compensation for smallpox vaccine injuries	0	50	_	
HOME	LHHS	CDC infectious diseases (SARS)	0	16		
HOME	LHHS	Upgrading state health lab capacity to deal with chemical terrorism threats	0	0		

						Total
				Manager's	Democratic	Democratic
Function	Subcommittee	Activity	Request	Amendment	Add	Package
HOME	TT	EOP emergency Response Fund	250	0	0	0
HOME	TT	Amtrak tunnel safety and security	0	0	50	50
но ме	VAHUD	VA health care preparedness	0	0	70	70
HOME	VAHUD	Lab improvements to deal with chemical terrorism	0	0	70 75	70 75
Subtotal, I	Homeland issues i	not voted on in prior bills	3,975	4,307	1,805	6,112
HOMELA	ND SECURITY TO	DTAL	3,975	4,307	2,067	6,374
		INTERNATIONAL				
INT	CJS	Diplomatic security and consular affairs	101	106	0	106
INT	CJS	Embassy construction	20	72	0	72
INT	CJS	Emergency evacuations	66	66	0	66
INT	CJS	Middle East TV	31	31	0	31
INT	FO	ERMA replenishment	50	80	0	80
INT	FO	Pakistani border security and law enforcement upgrades	25	25	0	25
INT	FO	Colombia Andean counterdrug Initiative	34	34	0	34
INT	FO	ESF	2,442	2,342	0	2,342
INT	FO	Military assistance	2,059	2,059	0	2,059
INT	FO	Peacekeeping	200	115	0	115
INT	FO	Emergency Fund for Complex Foreign crises	150	0	0	0
INT	FO	Afghanistan anti-terrorism	28	28	0	28
INT	FO	Child survival and health programs fund reimbursement	40	40	0	40
INT	FO	Disaster assistance	80	160	0	160
INT	FO	USAID	22	23	0	23
INT	FO	Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund	2,443	2,483	0	2,483
INTERNA	TIONAL TOTAL .	·	7,791	7,664	0	7,664
		OTHER				
OTHER	AG	Title II Grants	0	250	0	250
OTHER	AG	Emerson Humanitarian Trust	0	69	0	69
OTHER TO	OTAL		0	319	0	319
GRAND T	OTAL		74,758	74,758	2,490	77,244

DEMOCRATIC SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT

DEFENSE

Defense

- <u>Army National Guard</u>: +\$160.2 million. Congress authorized the establishment of 55 National Guard Civil Support Teams to assist state and local first responders, but only 32 have been created and funded. These teams provide immediate assistance to local authorities especially in cases involving weapons of mass destruction. These funds would cover the start-up costs for 18 additional Civil Support Teams, including additional personnel, equipment, and initial operations.
- <u>Army Reserves:</u> +\$66 million. The Army Reserves will provide emergency/redeployable medical facilities and personnel in cases of disasters created by weapons of mass destruction. Shortfalls in the Army reserve budget for training and relocating deployable military hospitals currently limit the Reserves ability to meet this mission. These additional funds will cover the Reserves WMD training costs.

Military Construction

- Each of the services has submitted to the House Appropriations Committee a detailed listing of unfunded military construction projects that are required to insure the security of our service members and their families as they live and work at military installations located in the United States. These projects tend to be relatively simple upgrades that can be executed within the year that funds are received. The total in this package is \$197 million dollars:
 - The <u>Army: +\$67.5 million</u> for 8 projects going to the Army and the Army Reserve. Gatehouses, fencing and other perimeter improvements make up the bulk of the list.
 - The <u>Marines: + \$10 million</u> needed for a new police station to provide better security on base.

- The Navy: +82 million for 14 projects, which are in their plan for future years but can be put forward now. Typical are projects to increase perimeter security, fencing, guardhouses, and improved lighting.
- The <u>Air Force and Air National Guard: +37 million</u> for 5 projects. These include new fencing, gate improvements, and an entrance complex.

HOMELAND SECURITY

Homeland Security Issue voted on in prior bills:

Agriculture

- FDA: +\$17 million for medical devices and radiological emergency preparedness, including radiological standards, related to radiological health, development work with industry on decontamination devices, safety of imported medical devices. [Funding provided as a contingent emergency in the FY 2002 spring supplemental, but President did not declare the emergency.]
- FSIS: +\$13 million for development of a shared data repository compatible with Customs on imported food, and for other increased foreign food review activities. Consistent with section 332 of the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness Response Act of 2002. [Funding provided as a contingent emergency in the FY 2002 spring supplemental, but President did not declare the emergency. Authorized in the Bioterrorism bill that passed House 425-1 and Senate 98-0]

Energy/Water

• +\$132 million for Physical Security at Federal Dams and Navigation Waterways. Since the 9/11 terrorist attacks, the Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Reclamation have been given additional funds for near-term security measures, primarily for more guards and overtime payments and to conduct specific site-by-site vulnerability assessments of their facilities. These agencies have concluded a large number of these assessments, but have few funds in fiscal year 2003 or 2004 to purchase the equipment needed to rectify the problems identified by the assessments.

A terrorist attack on a federal dam could result in a significant loss of life, severe economic disruption, and significant environmental damage. An attack on key commercial locks and waterways could cripple key business sectors of our economy, such as agriculture and

the movement of coal and steel, or flooding in communities such as those along the Mississippi River.

The amendment provides \$132 million, of which \$108 million is for the Army Corps of Engineers – identical to what Congress provided in last summer's supplemental that was rejected by OMB. The other \$24 million is what the Department of Interior needs to protect its dams and facilities, which was not known when we did the supplemental last year.

55 of the Department of Interior's facilities are listed as National Critical Infrastructure. Affected Army Corps facilities include:

Old Hickory Lock & Dam, Tennessee
Chicago Harbor Lock, Illinois
Coralville Project, Iowa
Norrell Lock and Dame, Arkansas
John Redmond Reservoir, Kansas
Lewiston Levees, Idaho
Andrews Lock & Dam, Alabama
Cooper River Rediversion Project, South Carolina
Cochiti Dam, New Mexico
Lake Isabella, California
Gaithright Dam, Virginia

VA/HUD

• Environmental Protection Agency: +\$25 million for a water systems vulnerability analysis. This continues the program begun in the original Homeland Security Supplemental to fund studies of terrorist vulnerability of local water systems. It was funded at \$83 million and included surveys of the largest systems and technical assistance for mid size and small systems. [Funding provided as a contingent emergency in the FY 2002 spring supplemental, but President did not declare the emergency.]

Chemical plant vulnerability assessments -- +\$75 million. According to a GAO March 2003 report, the federal government has not comprehensively assessed the chemical industry's vulnerabilities to terrorist attacks. No federal agency currently monitors or documents the extent to which chemical facilities have implemented security measures. \$75 million would be provided to EPA to assess the vulnerability of those chemical plants within populations of 100,000

or more with worst-case accidental release scenarios. [This part of the proposal has not been voted on previously.]

HOMELAND SECURITY (CONT'D)

Other homeland security issues:

Energy/Water

- Nuclear Non-Proliferation: +\$175 million, including:
 - Nuclear Detectors at Mega Seaports (\$135 million): The global shipping system can deliver a containerized weapon of mass destruction more accurately than a Soviet missile guidance system, according to the Department of Energy. Vessels move 90 percent of our warfighting material, and the bulk of goods our nation purchases from abroad. Current U.S. based systems for detecting radioactive weapons are not oriented toward when a port itself if a target of a weapon of mass destruction.

The Department of Energy has performed an analysis of shipping to the United States, and has identified 60 foreign "mega seaports" oversees where goods/containers from many nations first go before they are shipped to the United States. DOE indicates for example, that about 10 percent of all container shipping to the United States goes through Hong Kong, and about 6 percent each go through Shanghai and Singapore. DOE has developed nuclear detectors that can be given to port authorities in such mega seaports in conjunction with U.S. Customs, which provide port-wide alertment of nuclear material. Detecting and impounding illicit nuclear material before it is even sent to the United States provides the best protection we can get.

DOE is in the process of deploying the first radiological detection system to a foreign mega seaport, but has no funds appropriated in fiscal year 2003 or budgeted in fiscal year 2004 for this purpose. The amendment provides an additional \$135 million protect 9 additional mega seaports, for a total of 10. This would allow screening of approximately <u>half</u> of all containerized shipping entering the country.

- +\$10 million to secure radioactive materials that terrorists could use to construct "dirty bombs". The use of radioactive materials for peaceful purposes is widespread. They have many beneficial industrial, agricultural, research, and medical applications. On March 11 the Secretary of Energy addressed an International Atomic Energy Agency meeting which he convened to discuss the menace of dirty bombs and the need to recover, account for, store, and dispose of radioactive sources. The Secretary offered the assistance of the U.S. government to representatives of over 100 nations, and my amendment provides him the funds to make good on his offer.
- +\$8 million is to expand non-proliferation to nations other than those of the former Soviet Union.
- +\$8 million is for export control security. The Department of Homeland Security is requesting DOE to provide technical support to preventing brokers, foreign governments, terrorist groups, and criminal groups from trafficking in weapons of mass destruction and their technologies. DOE will provide nuclear WMD training for U.S. export control officials and joint terrorism task forces, provide real-time technical assessments of seized cargo, and assist in conducting outreach visits to U.S. companies that supply materials, equipment, and technologies, that would be of use to terrorists. DOE will also accelerate and augment cooperative programs that assist other nations in improving their domestic export control systems.
- +\$9 million for improved technologies to detect shielded nuclear materials, field improved nuclear detectors for inspectors, and to improve analytical techniques for determining the origin of illicit nuclear materials or devices.
- +\$5 million is enable DOE to provide essential support to postwar Iraq, include assessing and documenting Iraq's attempts to acquire weapons of mass destruction and potentially recovering, packaging, and disposing of vulnerable nuclear materials that may be found in Iraq.

• <u>+\$87 million for Operating Higher Threat Levels</u>. The Department of Energy is operating under a higher security level due to the conflict in Iraq and it needs additional funds to finance the requisite security activities. DOE facilities not only have nuclear weapons, but they also have radioactive, explosive, and other toxic materials that would be of interest to terrorists.

This includes protection to 35 of DOE's <u>world-class science facilities</u> such as the Argonne National Lab in Illinois, the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Lawrence Berkely National Laboratory in California, Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Tennessee, and the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory in New Jersey among others.

It includes protection to DOE <u>nuclear weapons facilities</u> like Pantex Texas (where thousands of nuclear devices are stored), Los Alamos and Sandia National Laboratories in New Mexico, Oak Ridge Tennessee, and others.

It includes the protection to 22 environmental management sites – <u>former nuclear weapons facilities</u> no longer needed once the Cold War ended. This includes sites in Ohio, Colorado, New Mexico, California, New York, Texas, Nevada, Illinois, New York, Kansas, and Arizona.

DOE is also changing its security standards (so-called "Design Basis Threat") under which its security forces operate. After 9/11, DOE must change its orientation from "preventing theft" to also include "thwarting deliberate attack". The revised design basis threat will drive up the security requirements, and therefore the security cost, throughout the DOE nuclear complex in science, nuclear weapons, and environmental management of former weapons sites.

• +\$5 million for Intelligence. The Department of Energy has the lead federal role in determining the nuclear weapons capability of other nations, and is also being called on to analyze chemical, biological, and cyber-security issues. Additional funds would provide a robust intelligence capability for monitoring nuclear weapons, other weapons of mass destruction development, and nuclear proliferation throughout the world. The media has reported recently on suspected nuclear activities in North Korea and Iran, for example.

Homeland Security

- <u>Coast Guard -- +\$100 million:</u> Bring 2,000 additional personnel proposed by President Bush for FY 2004 on board immediately and grow the Coast Guard back to the level it was in 1982.
- <u>Coast Guard Ports and Waterways Safety System -- +\$90 million:</u>
 This funding would be used to expand the security system currently in Norfolk to 11 additional ports (Boston, Charleston, Philadelphia, Jacksonville, Baltimore, Honolulu, San Francisco, Los Angeles Corpus Christi, San Juan and Wilmington NC).

• First Responder Grants:

- Office of Domestic Preparedness (ODP): +\$300 million. This funding would be directed to the base ODP program and, when coupled with the FY 2003 enacted funding and the Manager's amendment, would provide a total of \$3.5 billion to ODP in FY 2003. This is equal to the President's original FY 2003 budget request.
- Port security grants -- +\$250 million. This funding will be used for ports to make facility improvements and conduct vulnerability assessments. The Coast Guard estimates that a total of \$963 million is need in the fist year for facility security improvements. Even with this added funding, only \$690 million will have been provided to date for this purpose.
- Firefighter Assistance Grants -- +\$150 million. This would bring fire grants up to the \$900 million authorized for this program. A Dec. 2002 Needs Assessment of the US Fire Service found that: overall, fire departments do not have enough portable radios to equip more than about half of the emergency responders on a shift; the majority of fire department portable radios are not waterresistant, and more than 3/5th lack intrinsic safety in an explosive atmosphere, about 1/3 of firefighters per shift are not equipped with self-contained breathing apparatus, only 2/5th of fire

- departments can communicate with all of their partners, and nearly half of all fire departments have no map coordinate system.
- <u>Interoperability -- \$350 million.</u> This funding would be for local first responders to purchase equipment so that they can communicate with one another during a disaster. Currently, only 2/5 of fire departments can communicate with all of their partners. Some estimate that as much as \$10 billion is needed to ensure nation-wide interoperability of equipment.

Interior

- National Park Service Construction -- +\$18 million. These funds would support infrastructure security upgrades at the Washington Monument, Jefferson and Lincoln Memorials and other high priority NPS historical parks identified as "national icons". \$18 million of this is requested in the FY 2004 Budget and the Park Service testified on March 19, 2003 that it expected to have final approval for all projects in D.C. from all planning agencies completed in May. The estimate to do the Washington, Lincoln and Jefferson sites was \$41 million a year ago.
- Department of Interior -- <u>+\$10 million</u>. The Department has continued to accrue extraordinary operating costs as it has maintained high levels of security the National Mall and adjacent sites. This is principally U.S. Park Police and ranger personnel costs. In addition, law enforcement personnel from throughout the Department have provided increased support for security, whether as part of border monitoring or security of facilities such as dams on federally owned property. The Secretary would allocate funds among agencies.

Labor/HHS/Education

• <u>Upgrading capacity of state health labs to deal with chemical terrorism threats -- +\$75 million</u>. These funds would be used by the CDC to help state health laboratories develop capacity to perform rapid analysis of clinical samples to identify the presence of chemical terrorism agents. So far, funding for this purpose has been provided to only five states. These states need additional assistance, many more state labs need to develop this capacity, and CDC needs to

continue development of analytic techniques. The proposal assumes that some of the needed funding could come from the on-going public health preparedness grants, but that supplemental funds should be provided to avoid diverting resources from other pressing needs.

Treasury/Transportation

• Amtrak tunnel safety +\$50 million -- Amtrak has a approximately \$780 million estimated need for life/safety upgrades to tunnels they own that run into and out of New York City, Baltimore and Washington, DC. A potential terrorist action could be catastrophic due to inadequate evacuation shafts, lighting, communications equipment, ventilation and security. We provided \$100 million to get this work started in the FY02 supplemental (and the Long Island Railroad -- which shares use of the NY tunnels -- has already contributed \$220 million).

VA/HUD

- Veterans: <u>+\$70 million for enhancement of emergency preparedness</u>. This amount is to establish, deploy, restock and track Pharmaceutical Caches, provide personal protective equipment, decontamination equipment, and training of VA health care workers.
- EPA: <u>+\$75</u> million for response to chemical attacks and to strengthen <u>laboratories</u>. Responsibility for responding to chemical attacks is assigned to the EPA under the recent homeland security plan. In addition to building capacity generally within health departments to manage such events, the main need is state laboratory capacity to analyze and respond to purported chemical attacks.